

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

Amendments to the Claims:

1. (Previously Presented) A computer implemented method for predicting travel resource availability comprising the steps:
receiving a candidate itinerary;
obtaining current availability information for the candidate itinerary;
determining a probability that the candidate itinerary will remain available for booking for a period of time in the future based at least in part upon the current availability information and historical availability information for the candidate itinerary; and
outputting the probability.
2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the step of receiving a candidate itinerary further comprises:
receiving a customer request for travel; and
selecting a candidate itinerary that satisfies the customer request.
3. (Cancelled)
4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:
determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable for booking based on fare rules.
5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining a probability further comprises:
determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable given that a lower-priced itinerary has become unavailable.

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein determining a probability further comprises:

determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable based upon a flight departure date.

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein determining a probability further comprises:

determining a probability that an unavailable itinerary will become available.

8. (Currently Amended) A method for increasing reliability of booking airline travel itineraries comprising the steps of:

obtaining a candidate itinerary including availability information; and determining whether the availability information should be updated based on the candidate itinerary and a situation table that is created based upon availability data for the candidate itinerary from each of at least two data sources.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8 further comprising:
creating the situation table comprising sample itineraries and historical availability information.

10. (Original) The method of claim 8 further comprising:
dynamically updating the situation table based on the availability information.

11. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein creating a situation table comprises:
obtaining availability information from at least two data sources based on the candidate itinerary;
determining a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources; and

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking, wherein the indication is based on the difference.

12. (Original) The method claim 11, wherein the storing step further comprises: storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when the candidate itinerary is not rendered irrelevant by fare rules.

13. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein the storing step further comprises: storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources exceeds an error threshold.

14. (Previously Presented) A system for predicting travel resource availability implemented on a computer, the system comprising:
means for receiving a candidate itinerary;
means for obtaining current availability information for the candidate itinerary;
means for determining a probability that the candidate itinerary will remain available for booking for a period of time in the future based at least in part upon the current availability information and historical availability information for the candidate itinerary; and
means for outputting the probability.

15. (Original) The system of claim 14 further comprising:
means for receiving a customer request for travel, and
means for selecting a candidate itinerary that satisfies the customer request.

16. (Cancelled)

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

17. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 15, further comprising:
means for determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable for booking
based on fare rules.

18. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 15, wherein the means for
determining a probability further comprises:
means for determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable given that a
lower-priced itinerary has become unavailable.

19. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 15, wherein the means for
determining a probability further comprises:
means for determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable based upon
a flight departure date.

20. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 15, wherein the means for
determining a probability further comprises:
means for determining a probability that an unavailable itinerary will become available.

21. (Currently Amended) A system for increasing reliability of booking airline travel
itineraries implemented on a computer, the system comprising:
means for obtaining a candidate itinerary including availability information; and
means for determining whether the availability information should be updated based on
the candidate itinerary and a situation table that is created based upon availability data for the
candidate itinerary from each of at least two data sources.

22. (Original) The system of claim 21 further comprising:
means for creating the situation table comprising sample itineraries and historical
availability information.

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amtd. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

23. (Original) The system of claim 21 further comprising:
means for dynamically updating the situation table based on the availability information.

24. (Original) The system of claim 22, wherein the means for creating a situation table comprises:

means for obtaining availability information from at least two data sources based on the candidate itinerary;

means for determining a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources; and

means for storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking, wherein the indication is based on the difference.

25. (Original) The system of claim 24, wherein the means for storing further comprises:

means for storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when the candidate itinerary is not rendered irrelevant by fare rules.

26. (Original) The system of claim 24 wherein the mean for storing further comprises:

means for storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources exceeds an error threshold.

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

27. (Previously Presented) A computer-readable medium containing instructions for causing a computer to perform a method comprising the steps:

receiving a candidate itinerary from an itinerary generation element;

obtaining current availability information for the candidate itinerary from an availability data source;

determining a probability that the candidate itinerary will remain available for booking for a period of time in the future based at least in part upon the current availability information and historical availability information for the candidate itinerary by a processing element; and

outputting the probability to a display element.

28. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 27 wherein the step of receiving a candidate itinerary further comprises;

receiving a customer request for travel; and

selecting a candidate itinerary that satisfies the customer request.

29. (Cancelled)

30. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 28, wherein the method further comprises the step of:

determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable for booking based on fare rules.

31. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 28, wherein the step of determining a probability further comprises:

determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable given that a lower-priced itinerary has become unavailable.

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

32. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 28, wherein determining a probability further comprises:

determining when the candidate itinerary will become unavailable based upon a flight departure date.

33. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 28, wherein determining a probability further comprises:

determining a probability that an unavailable itinerary will become available.

34. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium containing instructions for causing a computer to perform a method of increasing reliability of booking airline travel itineraries comprising the steps of:

obtaining a candidate itinerary including availability information from an itinerary generation element in communication with an availability data source; and

determining whether the availability information should be updated based on the candidate itinerary and a situation table that is created based upon availability data for the candidate itinerary from each of at least two data sources by a processing element.

35. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 34 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

creating the situation table comprising sample itineraries and historical availability information.

36. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 34 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

dynamically updating the situation table based on the availability information.

Appl. No.: 09/697,645
Amdt. dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office action of 08/24/2004

37. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 35, wherein the step of creating a situation table comprises the steps of:
- obtaining availability information from at least two data sources based on the candidate itinerary;
 - determining a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources; and
 - storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking, wherein the indication is based on the difference.

38. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the storing step further comprises:
- storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when the candidate itinerary is not rendered irrelevant by fare rules.

39. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 37 wherein the storing step further comprises:
- storing in the situation table an indication that the availability information should be updated prior to booking but only when a difference between the availability information from the at least two sources exceeds an error threshold.